

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/085,156 02/27/2002 George Harvey Redlich 8031 A01201 21898 06/29/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 **ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY** SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399 1714

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,156	REDLICH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kriellion A. Sanders	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not persuade of patentability.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		Kullia Jaco
Kriellion A. Sanders		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 1 now indicates that both DCOIT and OIT must be included in the claimed compositions. This amendment renders claim 2 indefinite under 35 USC 112, 2nd patragraph because claim 2 includes only DCOIT. Proposed Claim 2 would not include all limitations of the claim from which it depends. Even if the proposed amendment were to be corrected and entered, it would not place the application in condition for allowance. It would instead raise a new issue under 35 USC 103 over Herbst et al. ...